RENO

MINUTES

Regular Meeting

Reno City Council

Wednesday, January 15, 2014 • 12:00 PM

Reno City Council Chamber, One East First Street, Reno, NV 89501

Robert A. Cashell, Sr., Mayor

Council Members:

Ward 1 – Jenny Brekhus Ward 4 – Dwight Dortch Ward 2 – Sharon Zadra Ward 5 – Neoma Jardon Ward 3 – Oscar Delgado At-Large – Hillary Schieve

A Introductory Items

- A.1 Pledge of Allegiance
- A.2 Observance of a Moment of Silence
- A.3 Roll Call

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Robert Cashell	Mayor	Present	
Jenny Brekhus	Council Member	Present	
Sharon Zadra	Council Member	Present	
Oscar Delgado	Council Member	Present	
Dwight Dortch	Vice Mayor	Present	
Neoma Jardon	Council Member	Present	
Hillary Schieve	Council Member	Present	
Andrew Clinger	City Manager	Present	

The meeting was called to order at 12:06 PM.

A.4 Public Comment

Ronald E. Magee, 550 North McCarran Boulevard, discussed several issues.

Bob Knoll, 1335 Brush Drive, Fernley, discussed the professionalism of Reno's firefighters.

Fran Lane, 3305 Zaragoza Drive, Sparks, President of Iron Nation, discussed the upcoming Never Forget 9-ll Memorial charity motorcycle ride.

Christopher Corbett, 1675 Sky Mountain Drive, discussed the National Defense Authorization Act.

Eddie Lorton, 100 North Arlington Avenue #16D, discussed fiscal responsibility.

Mark Markel, 48 Park Street, discussed the dangers of drinking and driving.

Sam Dehne, Reno resident, discussed several issues.

A.5 City Manager Announcements (Item for general announcements and informational items only. No deliberation or action will be taken on this item.)

City Manager Clinger made several announcements.

A.6 Approval of the Agenda (For Possible Action) - January 15, 2014.

The agenda was approved with item E.1.b.5 withdrawn.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

A.7 Approval of Minutes (For Possible Action) - December 4, 2013, and December 11, 2013.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member
SECONDER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

B Cash Disbursements

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

B.1 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Acceptance of Cash Disbursements Reports for November 17, 2013 through December 28, 2013. 12:33 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends to accept the attached Cash Disbursements reports.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

C Proclamations - None.

D Presentations

D.1 Presentation of Chief's Commendations, Medal of Valor, and the Lifesaving Medal - Steve Pitts, Police Chief. 12:33 PM

Steven Pitts, Police Chief, presented the Chief's Commendations and medals.

D.2 Economic Development Update - Virginian Motor Lodge - Loren Zaleschuk, Owner. 12:42 PM

Loren Zaleschuk, Owner of the Virginian Motor Lodge, made the presentation.

Khalid Ali, 1756 East Fourth Street, discussed code enforcement issues related to his Fourth Street business.

D.3 Rosewood Lakes Golf Course - James Keegan, Managing Principal, Golf Convergence. 12:50 PM

James Keegan, Managing Principal, Golf Convergence, made the presentation.

Kim Rhodemyre, 4313 Leeward Lane, discussed her concerns about the area wetlands, noting that the golf course was used for flood storage.

Tim Woodside, 7430 Hinton, Wooster High School golf team coach, discussed his students' use of the Rosewood Lakes Golf Course.

Lance Van Lydegraf, 565 West Arroyo, discussed his involvement with the creation of the men's and women's golf clubs, and the need for recreational activities such as golf in the City of Reno.

James Norton, 4829 West Lakeridge Terrace, discussed his support for the golf course.

Fred Elliott, 4141 West Hidden Valley Drive, PGA professional, discussed the need for keeping the golf course operational.

Pete Strom, 6006 Meadow Edge Drive, discussed his support for keeping the golf course open.

Sam Dehne, Reno resident, presented his views on this issue.

James MacDougall, 3311 Sandra Drive, member of the City's Financial Advisory Board (FAB), reminded the Council of the FAB's earlier recommendation that the City of Reno could not afford to continue losing, on average, over \$500,000 a year on the golf course.

The following seven individuals presented Public Comment Forms in favor of keeping Rosewood Lakes Golf Course operational: 1) Douglas Reimer, 4075 Riverhaven Drive; 2) Carole Reimer, 4075 Riverhaven Drive; 3) Jim Wodke, 4775 Pembroke Drive; 4) Karen Wodke, 4775 Pembroke Drive; 5) Danny Ludwig, 1541 Dayton Way; 6) Lori Elliott, 4141 West Hidden Valley Drive; and 7) David Freitas, 3320 Penfield Circle.

Discussion ensued regarding the possibility of leaving the property in open space, and the improbability of commercially developing the property.

E Consent Agenda

Items E.1 through E.9 were approved with item E.1.b.5 withdrawn and items E.1.b.4, E.5 and E.9 pulled for discussion.

E.1 Approval of Privileged Business Licenses

E.1.a New - Alcohol

1. Coffeebar, Greg Buchheister, 682 Mount Rose Street - Dining Wine and Beer and Packaged Wine and Beer (For Possible Action) [Ward 1]

RESULT:APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]MOVER:Dwight Dortch, Vice MayorSECONDER:Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

2. Paradise Cove Fun Center, Cynthia Snell-Fraser, 9475 Double R Boulevard, Suite B13-17 - Dining Room Wine and Beer (For Possible Action) [Ward 2]

RESULT:APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]MOVER:Dwight Dortch, Vice MayorSECONDER:Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.1.b New - Privileged

 Goodwill Industries of Sacramento Valley & Northern Nevada, Inc., Lawrence Peterson, 6295 Sharlands Avenue, Suite 9 - Secondhand Merchandise Sales (For Possible Action) [Ward 1]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

2. Goodwill Industries of Sacramento Valley & Northern Nevada, Inc., Lawrence Peterson, 4788 Caughlin Ranch - Secondhand Merchandise Sales (For Possible Action) [Ward 1]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

3. Goodwill Industries of Sacramento Valley & Northern Nevada, Inc., Lawrence Peterson, 2424 Oddie Boulevard, Suite C - Secondhand Merchandise Sales (For Possible Action) [Ward 3]

RESULT:APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]MOVER:Dwight Dortch, Vice MayorSECONDER:Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

4. Natalia Bicker Enterprises, Natalia Elizabeth Bicker, 2249 Big Trail Circle
 - Escort (For Possible Action) [Ward 2]

THIS ITEM WAS PULLED FOR DISCUSSION.

Council Member Zadra and Michael Chaump, Business Relations Program Manager, discussed strengthening the evaluation process for escort service applications, other local area escort services, and staffs' ability to evaluate and substantiate the services being provided by escort services.

Council Member Jardon and Mr. Chaump discussed the process of verifying the age of escorts employed by escort agencies. Mr. Chaump said that Reno Municipal Code (RMC) 510.030 requires that escorts be at least 21 years of age, which is verified at the time of application, and they must pass a complete Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) background check, including fingerprinting. He said that they must also have a work card in their possession.

Council Member Brekhus noted that, according to RMC, an escort has to be 21 years of age while an 18-year-old can dance without clothing in adult businesses.

Council Member Zadra said that was why she requested a year ago that code be examined in that regard, and Mayor Cashell and Council Member Jardon agreed that the Council should review the issue.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member
SECONDER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

5. PetroSolutions, LLC, Norris Bryan Fabian, 14150 Mount Anderson Street - Second Hand Merchandise Sales (For Possible Action) [Ward 4]

THIS ITEM WAS WITHDRAWN FROM THE AGENDA.

RESULT: WITHDRAWN

6. R D J Ranches, LLC, Robin T. Fryling, 1537 Canal Road - Secondhand Merchandise Sales (For Possible Action) [Not in City]

RESULT:APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]MOVER:Dwight Dortch, Vice MayorSECONDER:Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.1.c Change of Ownership - Alcohol

Silver State Food Mart/Boyett Petroleum RMS #701, Scott Castle, 1100
 East Plumb Lane, Suite J - Packaged Liquor (For Possible Action) [Ward 3]

RESULT:APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]MOVER:Dwight Dortch, Vice MayorSECONDER:Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

2. Duncan Golf at Lakeridge, Thomas P. Duncan, 1218 Golf Club Drive - Bar (For Possible Action) [Ward 2]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

3. Dollar + Merchandise Smoke & Liquor, MD Abdul Mannan, 940 West Moana Lane, Suite 105 - Packaged Liquor (For Possible Action) [Ward 2]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.1.d Change of Ownership - Privileged

1. Goodwill Industries of Sacramento Valley & Northern Nevada, Inc., Lawrence Peterson, 5030 Las Brisas Boulevard, Suite A-1 - Secondhand Merchandise Sales (For Possible Action) [Ward 5]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.1.e Supplemental - Alcohol

 Supermart, Baljit Kaur, 1500 South Virginia Street - Packaged Liquor (For Possible Action) [Ward 3]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.1.f Supplemental - Gaming

1. Lamppost Pizza, 1141 Steamboat Parkway, Suite 930 - Slots (For Possible Action) [Ward 2]

RESULT:APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]MOVER:Dwight Dortch, Vice MayorSECONDER:Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.1.g Supplemental - Privileged

1. Lombardo's Appliances, Bruce S. Keefe, 2800 Dickerson Road - Secondhand Merchandise Sales (For Possible Action) [Ward 1]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

2. Metropawn #3, M. Neil Duxbury, 2625 Paradise Drive - Pawnbroker and Secondhand Merchandise Sales (For Possible Action) [Ward 3]

RESULT:APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]MOVER:Dwight Dortch, Vice MayorSECONDER:Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

3. Consign & Design Unlimited, Maryann Decker, 319, 321 and 323 E Moana Lane - Secondhand Merchandise Sales (For Possible Action) [Ward 2]

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.2 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Acceptance of Grant Award from the State Historic Preservation Office for Historic Preservation Funding to be used for contract services to create a historic context of the Newlands Heights neighborhood, in the amount of \$10,000, and the Wells Avenue neighborhood, in the amount of \$7,000, for a total amount of \$17,000. 1:56 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends approval of the 2013 Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) grant application and authorization for the City Manager to approve the funding agreement.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT:APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]MOVER:Dwight Dortch, Vice MayorSECONDER:Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.3 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Acceptance of Donation from Renown (Senior Care Plus) to support the 2014 Reno/Tahoe Winter Senior Games in the amount of \$5,000. 1:56 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends City Council accept the private contribution of \$5,000 from Renown (Senior Care Plus) to support the Reno/Tahoe Winter Senior Games.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.4 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of License Agreement and Agreement for Adjustment of Utility Facilities with Nevada Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a AT&T Nevada, for the Virginia Street Bridge Project. 1:56 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends approval of the License Agreement and Agreement for Adjustment of Utility Facilities with Nevada Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a AT&T Nevada, and authorization for the Mayor to sign.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.5 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of Interlocal Funding Agreement with the Regional Transportation Commission for the construction of the Virginia Street Bridge Replacement Project in an amount not to exceed \$2,000,000 (Regional Fuel Tax). 2:07 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council approve the Interlocal Agreement for the Virginia Street Bridge Project with the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) for funding reimbursement of the Virginia Street Bridge Replacement Project in an amount not to exceed \$2,000,000 and authorize the Mayor

to sign.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

THIS ITEM WAS PULLED FOR DISCUSSION.

Council Member Jardon and Charla Honey, Public Works Engineering Manager, discussed details of the proposed funding agreement and potential cost overruns.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREKHUS ABSENT AT 2:12 P.M.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Robert Cashell, Dwight Dortch, Neoma Jardon, Hillary Schieve

ABSENT: Jenny Brekhus, Sharon Zadra, Oscar Delgado

E.6 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of Consultant Agreement with Petty & Associates, Inc. for Phase 1 for the Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility (TMWRF) #1, #2 and #3 Water Systems Evaluation and Improvement Project at TMWRF in the amount of \$319,560, with Reno's share being \$219,314.03 (Sewer Enterprise Fund). 1:56 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council approve an agreement with Petty & Associates Inc. for Phase 1 work pertaining to the Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility's #1, #2 and #3 Water Systems Evaluation and Improvement Project in the amount of \$319,560 and authorize the Mayor to sign.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.7 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of Award of Contract to KG Walters Construction Co., Inc. for construction of the Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility (TMWRF) Digester Gas Conditioning System Project in an amount not to exceed \$4,441,500, with the City of Reno's portion being \$2,372,882.25 (Sewer Enterprise Fund). 1:56 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council approve the Award of Contract to KG Walters Construction Co., Inc. for the Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility Digester Gas Conditioning System Project in an amount not to exceed \$4,441,500 (Reno's share being \$2,372,882.25 from the Sewer Enterprise Fund) and authorize the Mayor to sign.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.8 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Approval of Consulting Agreement for Construction Management Services with Carollo Engineers, Inc. for the Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility (TMWRF) Digester Gas Conditioning System Project in an amount not to exceed \$452,156, with the City of Reno's portion being \$310,314.66 (Sewer Enterprise Fund). 1:56 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council approve the Agreement for construction period services with Carollo Engineers, Inc. for service as described in the attached proposal in an amount not to exceed \$452,156 (Reno's share being \$310,314.66 from the Sewer Enterprise Fund) and authorize the Mayor to execute the attached Agreement.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor
SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

E.9 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Award of Contract to Campbell Construction Company, Inc. for the Base Bid to construct block retaining walls on Eloise Avenue (Ward 1) and Minerva Lane (Ward 5), and Alternate 1 to reconstruct Minerva Lane, in an amount not to exceed \$204,235 (Street Fund). 2:03 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council approval of the award of contract to Campbell Construction Company, Inc. in an amount not to exceed \$204,235 for the Base Bid and Alternate 1 and authorize the Mayor to sign.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

THIS ITEM WAS PULLED FOR DISCUSSION.

COUNCIL MEMBER ZADRA ABSENT AT 2:04 P.M.

Council Member Brekhus and Charla Honey, Public Works Engineering Manager, discussed budgetary issues and details of the proposed work.

COUNCIL MEMBER DELGADO ABSENT AT 2:06 P.M.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

ABSENT: Sharon Zadra, Oscar Delgado

F Public Hearings - 12:15 PM

F.1 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Ordinance Introduction - Bill No. _____ Case No. LDC10-00030 (Evans Ranch PUD Amendment) - Request: 1) for a zoning map amendment from ±41.31 acres of UT40 (Unincorporated Transition -1 unit/40 acres) to PUD (Planned Unit Development); 2) to amend the text and graphics of the PUD Handbook to add ±41.31 acres and 123 dwelling units to the existing 5,556 dwelling units approved (5,679 total units); 3) to incorporate agreements into the PUD to define the timing and extent of fire, police, parks and other infrastructure improvements as the project develops; and 4) to modify the timing in which to construct the fire station. The $\pm 2,166.3$ acre site, which includes the addition of ± 41.31 acres, is located to the north and west of Red Rock Road, ±5.63 miles north of its intersection with US 395 and generally north and east of the Blackjack Lane/Jackpot Road intersection, ±4,500 feet (.85 miles) north of the developed portion of Cold Springs in the PUD zone. The site has Master Plan land use designations of Unincorporated Transition, Special Planning Area and Single Family Residential. [Ward 4] 2:11 PM

Recommendation: The Planning Commission recommends Council approve the requested zoning map amendment by ordinance and approval of the amendments to the PUD subject to Condition A as amended in the staff memos to the Planning Commission dated December 5, 2013.

Proposed Motion: I move to uphold the recommendation of the Planning Commission.

COUNCIL MEMBER DELGADO PRESENT AT 2:12 P.M.

COUNCIL MEMBERS ZADRA AND BREKHUS PRESENT AT 2:13 P.M.

The Mayor asked if proper notice was given.

City Clerk Jones stated that proper notice was given and no correspondence was received.

Mayor Cashell opened the public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak. No one spoke and the Mayor closed the public comment portion of the hearing.

Vern Kloos, Community Development Senior Planner, said that the request was to: 1) add 41 acres and 123 units to the Planned Unit Development (PUD), which would increase the size of the site from 2,125 acres to 2,166 and increase the number of units from 5,556 to 5,679; and 2) allow development agreements to define the time and extent

for fire, police, parks and other infrastructure improvements as the project develops. The request was reviewed by the Planning Commission in December 2013, he said, and they approved it subject to the changes in the Staff Report and the conditions that were attached to the Staff Report.

Mr. Kloos said that prior to today's meeting staff received a request from Council Member Brekhus to provide a map of the site showing its relation to other nearby properties, and additional information regarding the provision in the fire services portion of the PUD requiring the extension of Echo Avenue to Osage Road in order to provide fire access to the site. Mr. Kloos displayed and discussed maps of the area on the screen in Council chambers, including changes in fire service due to Reno's de-consolidation with Washoe County.

Council Member Brekhus asked how many units were included in the Somersett development, Mr. Kloos replied that Somersett was approved for approximately 3,000 units, and Ms. Brekhus noted that the Evans Ranch development would be larger than Somersett. She said that it would include a fire station similar to that in Somersett, which was not being staffed at all times.

Council Member Brekhus asked if this modification to the PUD was being considered because the developer wanted to add 123 residential units, and Mr. Kloos explained that the developer acquired the 41-acre piece afterwards and wanted to include it in the PUD. Mr. Kloos said that the project had been on hold since 2010 and, as a result of the deconsolidation of the fire departments, the developer wanted to allow for development agreements to define the timing and extent of services such as police, fire, parks and other infrastructure. Basically, he said, they want to tie down what they need to do, what it would cost, and the timing to deliver those services.

Council Member Brekhus said that with that rationale in mind, we are talking about adding a few (123) units to the 5,556 they already have. She questioned why they should go through the process of modifying the PUD. Ms. Brekhus noted that this was a 20 to 25 year build-out that was approved in 2006, and even though they were a quarter of the way through the projected build-out period, nothing had been built. She questioned the necessity of going through this exercise for 100 units -- a fraction of the 5,556 they already have.

Mr. Kloos said that the modifications to the PUD Handbook would help the City with fire services for the project, and the changes to the fire services section of the PUD would allow better response time to the site from the existing fire station in Stead.

Council Member Brekhus said that the second reason for the rationale was so they could get development agreements, but when upon an examination of the document she noted that the requirement in one revision of the document that stipulated that they would 'have to get' development agreements had been struck to say that they 'may get' development agreements. She asked how many development agreements the City had entered into over the years.

Mr. Kloos said that the only one he was aware of was Meadowood Mall, and Ms. Brekhus said that they had not really used those as a matter of course anyway.

Council Member Brekhus noted that the City bonded approximately \$800,000 last fall to build a fire station in southeast Reno because some 30,000 people in the area did not have a fire station, and she believed the basis for building that fire station was an agreement the City had with the developers in a PUD much like this one. Ms. Brekhus questioned how the City could avoid having that same scenario happen again, and what protections had been built into the Evans Ranch agreement.

Mr. Kloos said that if the request to add the acreage and additional units were not approved, the existing PUD would remain in force. The minor modifications for the increase in acreage and the number of units, he said, would actually improve the situation from a fire protection standpoint.

Council Member Brekhus asked if the agreement was being improved to the developer's benefit or to the City's disadvantage, noting that the jurisdiction was already strapped in its ability to man-up its existing fire stations. If they did not need this agreement, she queried, would they not just keep the agreement they already have? She asked why the developer needed another 123 units when he already had over 5,000 that still had not been built.

Mr. Kloos said that staff believed this was an improvement over the existing situation.

Council Member Brekhus said that in 2006, the Council wanted to know the specifics of the provision of water and sewer services, and there was some conjecture about whether the sewer could go to the Cold Springs water treatment plant. Ms. Brekhus asked if there were other subdivisions in the City that sewered out to the County, and if staff knew whether the County would sewer City of Reno properties in their Cold Springs plant.

Mr. Kloos said it was his understanding that sewage from the western portion of the site would probably go to the Cold Springs plant (the Woodland Village area), and sewage from the eastern portion of the site would go to the Stead plant. He stated that those determinations would have to be made as the development came forward for approvals, but that the developer did provide conceptual plans for water and sewer in 2008 when the zoning was put in place. All of that information with respect to water service area and sewer maps is in the PUD right now, he said.

Council Member Brekhus asked if the County ever responded, then or now, about their ability to service the subdivision. She asked if they had the capacity to service the subdivision, and noted that the City's plan was some 10,000,000 gallons from not being able to meet nitrogen release.

Mayor Cashell said that these were things they would have to resolve before anything could be built, and Mr. Kloos agreed.

Council Member Brekhus stated that this was a vesting tool, and it was the intent of the Council in 2006 to get specific answers to these questions. She said that there was a comment in one of the conditions about a housing discount for essential workers, and a statement that it would be done if it could be determined that it could be legally established. Ms. Brekhus asked if there was doubt about whether the City had the authority to be involved in such a discussion.

Mr. Kloos said that the condition was written that way because it was not certain it would be allowable, but it was put forth in good faith in order to provide a lower income segment (1% or 2% of the units) for that purpose.

Council Member Brekhus asked if anyone had questioned the legality of having someone build a fire station for the City, give \$500 in impact fees for every residential development for police facilities, and buy the City some fire trucks.

Mr. Kloos said that, at that time, staff (including fire and police) determined that was appropriate and the applicant agreed, and those things were included in the PUD. He said that the applicant was still willing to live with those terms and, if the agreement were to be modified during the development phase, or if the impact fees already in place were modified by an ordinance that was enacted before the first final map came forward, those things would then be determined. Basically, he said, the services will be provided, it is just the method that has not been precisely determined.

Council Member Brekhus said that these negotiated terms were along the line of what went on in Double Diamond; some people who bought property or came in on this either went bankrupt or told the City they had deals that were not legal, and that was why the City ended up bonding \$835,000. There is also a record here of a former fire chief saying that once the fire station was built the City would staff it, she said, so we are putting ourselves on the line for staffing when we are unable to staff the Skyline and Somersett stations. Ms. Brekhus said that she thought the Council should ask staff for more information, including a line-by-line analysis of service infrastructure, to see how they were different and made better to the City's advantage through this agreement. She also mentioned with specifying any details several instances where timing and other sorts of things were to be 'at the developer's option'. The developer is driving the train on this, she said, on our ability to serve this development when we have challenges to serve existing parts of our community. I think this is premature, she stated, and I would like more information.

It was moved by Council Member Dortch, seconded by Council Member Zadra to uphold the recommendation of the Planning Commission.

Motion carried with Council Member Brekhus voting nay.

It was moved by Council Member Dortch, seconded by Council Member Zadra to refer Bill No. 6881 to the Committee of the Whole.

Motion carried with Council Member Brekhus voting nay.

The Mayor closed the public hearing.

Bill No. 6881 was referred to the Committee of the Whole.

RESULT: FIRST READ [6 TO 1] Next: 1/29/2014 12:00 PM

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor SECONDER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

NAYS: Jenny Brekhus

F.2 ADP - Amendment and Service Agreement

F.2.1 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Discussion and approval of Service Amendment to the Master Services Agreement with Automatic Data Processing, Inc. (ADP) to extend the termination date to December 31, 2018 and for additional implementation costs of \$172,541, bringing the total amount for implementation to \$1,337,577 (General Fund). 3:39 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends approval for the Mayor to sign the Service Amendment with Automatic Data Processing, Inc. for additional implementation costs of \$172,541, bringing the total amount of the implementation costs in the Master Agreement to \$1,337,577, contingent upon Department of Taxation approval of a Medium-Term Obligation; also extending the termination date to December 31, 2018; and adoption of Resolution

No.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

The Mayor asked if proper notice was given.

City Clerk Jones stated that proper notice was given and no correspondence was received.

Mayor Cashell opened the public hearing.

Kate Thomas, Director of the Office of Management and Budget, provided an overview of the Staff Report. She said that the amendment to the agreement with Automatic Data Processing, Inc. (ADP) would extend the termination date for services and increase implementation costs by \$172,541, which would be paid over five years at 0% interest.

Council Member Schieve asked with how many municipalities ADP worked.

Ty Arlint, Regional Sales Executive representing ADP, replied that they worked with approximately 1,500 municipalities and had approximately 5,000 clients.

Council Member Schieve said that she would consider ADP to be experts in working with municipalities.

Mr. Arlint said that as an organization ADP was expert at providing payroll services.

Council Member Schieve said that she did not understand why, after examining the City's needs, ADP did not know it would be necessary to come back to ask for an additional \$172,541.

Mr. Arlint said that any time one starts up a technology project, which ADP had done with the City's senior leadership team, one spends countless hours on the front end doing due diligence, figuring out what the scope of the project is going to look like, what a lot of the needs are from a union's perspective, and what all the system needs are going to be across the enterprise. He said that one then puts that into an implementation plan and then once one gets into implementation, there are certain items that can change, that can evolve. There are policies around the City that potentially can change, he said, and generally, there is always going to be some type of modification to what one initially did.

Council Member Schieve asked if the agreement with ADP allowed for modifications, and if staff was aware when the agreement was negotiated that it might actually cost more to implement the system.

Ms. Thomas said that the agreement was approved in 2012 by the previous Council. She noted that she had been privy to several technology projects in the past, and knew that the [cost overrun] scenario could exist, and staff worked with ADP throughout the process to ensure that the changes were minimized. Ms. Thomas said that staff negotiated the rates, and the reality of it was that we had a complex business process that we were trying to build a solution for, and some customizations were necessary.

Council Member Schieve asked if the agreement included a provision for the change in the amount of money, and Ms. Thomas replied that it did. Ms. Thomas also said that most contracts have a clause that for unforeseen circumstances, or circumstances beyond the original scope of work, there could be additional costs.

Council Member Schieve stated that typically in all of the business contracts she had signed that would not be acceptable. She noted that the Staff Report mentioned a reduced rate, and asked what ADP's regular rate was.

Mr. Arlint said that the contract rate is \$150 per hour. Over the course of the contract, he said, we worked extremely close with the City's leadership team to minimize the changes, looking at procedures that could potentially change to help increase the efficiencies within the City. We are here today for the \$172,541, he

said, and there are also additional fees that ADP has absorbed over the course of the project, noting that he could not disclose exactly what those were, but that they were well above the change being discussed today.

Council Member Schieve stated that City stall usually knew to the dollar and the dime what was going to be spent, and her concern that ADP did not.

Council Member Dortch agreed that modifying the scope of work usually required additional fees, and asked if the City changed or modified the scope of work.

Ms. Thomas replied that the City did not change the scope of work. She explained that what happened was that when the process of implementation began, issues arose that had not been highlighted during the original business process analysis.

Council Member Dortch questioned whether the City was being asked to pay an additional \$172,541 because ADP did not perform their due diligence.

Mr. Arlint said that they [staff and ADP] worked collectively to figure out what the scope was.

Council Member Dortch said that ADP had approximately 5,000 government contracts, did their due diligence and came back with a number, and the City relied on their expertise. He stated that it was unacceptable for ADP to come back now to ask for an additional \$172,541.

Mr. Arlint said that no two projects were the same, it was a shared responsibility with the City, they ran into challenges, and a lot of things came up that were unseen; things within the collective bargaining agreements, procedures and policies, and the way things are done at the City of Reno.

Council Member Dortch said that analyzing all of those things should have been part of ADP's due diligence process, and should have been considered before a price for their work was provided to the City.

Mr. Arlint replied that ADP analyzes everything they can.

Ms. Thomas explained that there was a limited number of staff to work on the project, and some things about the City's processes of which staff was previously unaware were discovered as they went through the process. She said that is was a good exercise for strengthening the way the City does business around payroll and administration, they could have done a better job up front in analyzing all of this, and both shared responsibility.

Council Member Jardon noted that she worked with ADP for many years and

found the final product to be very good. She asked Mr. Arlint If he had written not-to-exceed contracts with any of the other 1,500 municipalities with which ADP worked, and whether any cost overruns were absorbed by ADP.

Mr. Arlint said that generally in a technology project like this ADP's methodology of doing business was to try to produce, not necessarily a not-to-exceed, but to do all of the due diligence up front to minimize that on the back end. ADP does everything they can to ensure that it does not happen, he said, but at times things come up, issues arise, that are shared responsibilities, and we can do everything we can to partner with an organization to minimize that risk. One of the things we have done in partnering with the City, Mr. Arlint said, is to be on site here almost weekly since the system went live 11 payrolls ago to continue to help staff with process improvements. We are not asking the City to pay for any of those services in addition to what has been billed on the implementation side.

Council Member Jardon asked if this was a typical overrun percentage, and Mr. Arlint replied that it would be difficult to answer that question because there were so many projects and he was only involved in a few of those.

Council Member Jardon asked why a potential cost overrun was not provided for in the agreement, and Mr. Arlint said that ADP's general contract states that they will implement the system for a certain fee, gives the rates and, if changes or things come up, there is a contracted rate of \$150 per hour. He said that ADP had not billed for the changes at that rate (\$150 per hour), had tried to be good partners, and had owned a big portion of that and tried to absorb it internally.

Council Member Jardon asked staff to identify the status of the changes that needed to be made and to discuss the feedback that had been received as of today on the system.

Ms. Thomas said that a payroll had been committed earlier in the morning (ten had been done so far), the systems were up and running, everyone had been receiving paychecks, and a tickler list for post go-live issues had been developed to address some issues staff wanted to see finished that would enhance the system and get to the product they knew it could be. We met yesterday with a different team from ADP to see where we were on those things, she said, and 25 of the items on the list had already been checked off. So we are progressing, we have weekly meetings to ensure we have a status report on where we will be, and we are pushing hard because we know what we want to deliver for the citizens of Reno is an efficient and effective system. We are not there yet, but we are progressing. There are further efficiencies to be seen.

Council Member Jardon asked how many items were on the punch list.

Ms. Thomas said that there were 24 items left on the punch list, 16 of which had to do with reporting and the way some custom reports were generated.

Council Member Jardon summarized that 50% of the items on the punch list had been completed, and 50% were still pending, and asked if the City would be billed for the remaining 50% of the items.

Ms. Thomas replied that the City would not be billed for the remaining punch list items, and Mr. Arlint agreed that the punch list would be completed at no additional charge and at a higher level of service than they usually provided. Mr. Arlint said that most of the time they did this type of work over the telephone, but had in this case been doing it in person.

Council Member Brekhus said that she accepted the explanation of a shared responsibility for the scope creep-age, and a lesson learned was that there were weaknesses in the City's procurement capacities. She said that she also thought technology programs were sometimes up-sold to entities that did not have a lot of resources to man-up such a technology program.

Council Member Brekhus referred to Annex Z (the rate schedule), and said that there are a lot of different items for which charges would be assessed. She asked if there was a five-year projection of what that rate schedule would be.

Ms. Thomas said that at this time they did not do so because they were trying to get through implementation first, but could certainly pull that information together. We do that for budgeting purposes, she said, because we want to know into the future what our obligations are with ADP.

Upon further questioning by Council Member Brekhus about not having projected out what the rate schedule would be, Ms. Thomas said that staff projected it out for one year, but there were certain pieces they did not wind up implementing and so it shifted.

Council Member Brekhus said that on the staff report when the prior sitting Council agreed to the ADP system it was represented that the ADP system would allow the City to attrition out or reassign two payroll clerks, but that she understood that those two employees were now working harder than ever. She asked if the payroll clerks would ever be reassigned or the positions eliminated, and if the City would realize the efficiencies that were originally projected.

Ms. Thomas said that they were not that far out of go-live, and explained that the new system was a complete shift in the way they had done payroll in the past. We will see gains and efficiencies, she said, and as with any position, as one becomes vacant, we will decide whether it needs to be filled; at this time, we are sort of holding the line as far as the staff we have because of the needs around the new system and some of the complexities.

Council Member Brekhus asked the classification of the two positions, and to

where it was planned to reassign them.

Ms. Thomas said that at the time it had been anticipated that the two payroll clerks would not be needed because of the automation of the system, and it had been anticipated that they would be reassigned into different capacities.

Council Member Brekhus asked if that was still the thinking, and Ms. Thomas said that things had changed as far as whom those individuals were, where they were working, and where the system was right now. We will take those on a case-by-case basis, she said.

Council Member Brekhus noted that there was a paragraph in the contract regarding the penalties for termination, and asked how much the payout by the City would be if they were to terminate the contract today.

Ms. Thomas said that staff had not calculated what the termination payout would be. We are live, she said, we are proceeding with the system as originally planned and approved by the Council in 2012, and we are moving forward with the system and the payments that are due pursuant to Annex Z.

Council Member Brekhus noted that the system was live, and asked if the City had accepted the program even though there was still a tickler list of 16 items.

Ms. Thomas said that when they went live, there was a gating point where the executive staff got together to decide whether they were ready to go for it, and they did. There were a lot of nuances around the year end-process and things that made it critical to go live when we did, she said, to avoid further costs and further delays.

Council Member Brekhus reiterated that there was a procurement issue, and suggested that this was what happened when one let the contractor write the contract and one did not specify things out to one's advantage. If we approve this \$172,541 payment and we terminate the contract in six months, will we be hit with a big termination fee, and what is the differential between the termination fee today and the termination fee six months from now, she asked.

Ms. Thomas said that she had not looked at the termination clause recently because all hands on deck had been moving forward with implementation of the system that had been approved.

City Manager Clinger said that one of the things the leadership team discovered as they went through the process was that it was easy to put down on paper where they thought the efficiencies would be, but as they learned more about how the City processes things, they began to realize that they were woefully understaffed in the Human Resources Department, including in the payroll section. We recognized that we were in a deficit to begin with, he said, and in the end, this

system will help us with that. Will we actually be able to attrition out those folks, I am not sure that we will. Did it create efficiencies out in the departments where we had staff working on time sheets and that sort of thing? Yes, it did, but that is typically done by administrative staff that then takes on other duties.

Council Member Dortch said that he would have expected ADP to tell the City up front that were not going to realize these efficiencies because they were understaffed. When they examine our system and perform their analysis of how they are going to improve it for us, they should spell that out for us, he said. They are the experts, he said, and that is why we hired them.

Council Member Zadra noted that the standard contract contingency fund was 10% while this overage amounted to 13% of the contract amount, and asked if it had been considered that ADP would not get anything over an additional 3%. Ms. Zadra said that the City approved the ADP system because they realized they had limited staff resources and believed they needed to move to an automated payroll system in order to improve efficiencies. None of our labor conditions has changed from the time we initiated the contract with ADP and the time they began doing their work, she said, and saying that it [the process] was just more complicated than they initially thought was unacceptable. You should have identified that, she said. We have relied on efficiencies that the State has gained with some of its vendors and contracts, she continued, but maybe Reno is not able to gain those same kinds of efficiencies because of its smaller size.

Mr. Arlint said that implementation and ongoing services were two different things. From a service perspective, he said, out in the field some of the efficiencies gained such as around paper time sheets are the efficiencies we expect an organization like yours to see. Whether it is an organization with 50 or 50,000 employees, he said, those same efficiencies are still to be gained and should still be realized around time collection, around the administration of benefits, and the elimination of paper. Mr. Arlint said that from an implementation perspective it is quite different -- apples and oranges to some degree. We base our implementations on a time frame and on the number of hours it is going to take for an organization to go 'live', he said -- how that time frame looks, how the project runs, the timing that it takes to do it -- those are all alternatives that we have no control over once the project starts.

Council Member Zadra asked if that was any different from any other contractual arrangements ADP had with any other clients, and Mr. Arlint replied that it was not.

Council Member Zadra asked if they had failed to this level with other clients, and Mr. Arlint said that they (ADP) had a lot of implementations happening all the time and, in his opinion, what they were seeing in this any many other projects was that they were within the guidelines. When you are looking at a time frame of when the project will go, he said, it is based on the speed at which the

partnership that we have in place works.

Council Member Zadra asked what that meant, and Mr. Arlint explained that if a 39-week implementation went on for 52 weeks, there were many things that could influence how that implementation went. He said that if the question was did they fail, he would not say that they failed the implementation.

City Manager Clinger clarified that the procurement process was through a purchasing consortium, and was not a joinder to a State contract.

Council Member Zadra said that her point was that the City needed to be cautious about entering into joinders with the State.

Council Member Jardon asked what the contract said relative to making the City whole, and what the City's options were. She reiterated that 50% of the items still remained on the punch list, and asked when the remaining 24 items would be completed.

Ms. Thomas responded that staff met yesterday with ADP to determine what was needed to progress further, and they estimated that a completely implemented punch list could take anywhere from a couple of months to upwards of a year. We do not anticipate it would take anywhere near that long, she said, and believe this will all be behind us in six months.

Council Member Jardon stated her concern that the City was so far down the road with this project that they may not be physically or fiscally able to go back. She stated she wanted confirmation that from this point on, with the \$172,541 today, that the City would not be writing another check to ADP.

Mr. Arlint stated that he agreed emphatically. He said that the \$172,541 was for the last six months of work. Once we went 'live' and started producing payroll for the City, he said, there were other minor things such as reporting capabilities that have to be worked out.

Council Member Brekhus stated that if the city manager felt the Council had the information and it was his strong recommendation that they follow through, she would be inclined to move forward. However, she said, if the Council wanted to postpone this to the next meeting to get a little more information she felt would be helpful, she would also agreed to that.

City Manager Clinger stated that it was his strong recommendation that the Council approve the \$172,541 payment to ADP, and while he would be willing to bring back additional information if it would make the Council feel more comfortable with the decision, he knew that it would not change his recommendation to move forward with this. He promised that he would not bring an item back beyond what was being asked for today.

Mr. Arlint and Council Member Brekhus discussed Annex Z and how the price structure works with respect to the scope of the project.

The Mayor opened the public comment portion of the hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak.

Eddie Lorton, 100 North Arlington Avenue #16D, said that he identified this problem three months ago. He also said that ADP is supposed to be the expert, they got the City on the hook for \$1,165,000 and now another \$172,54, and questioned why the \$237,000 the City paid to Aerotek, Inc., to get this system up and running had not been mentioned. Mr. Lorton said that the system would not save money, and said that it had already necessitated endless staff time over the past 18 months. We paid ADP \$108,000 and 18 months later the system is not even working to full capacity, he stated, and suggested that the City terminate the agreement and perhaps hire a bank to do the City's payroll or return to the previous system of having payroll clerks perform the duties.

Mayor Cashell closed the public comment portion of the hearing.

Council Member Clinger said that Aerotek, Inc., was the project manager hired by the City to manage the project and while it was related to ADP, it was under a separate contract. That contract, he said, expired in August 2013, when we made the decision to take over the responsibility for project management ourselves.

City Manager Clinger and Robert Chisel, Finance Director, discussed the accuracy of the City's paychecks after some initial issues were resolved.

Tracy Chase, Chief Deputy City Attorney, noted that under NRS 350.087 relating to medium-term obligation, such an obligation had to be passed by resolution by a 2/3 vote of the membership.

Council Member Schieve asked if ADP wanted the City to cancel the contract, and stated that the \$172,541 (roughly 13% of the contact) should be incurred by ADP. She said that it was a small amount considering what the City was going to pay ADP, and she did not believe the taxpayers should have to pay it.

Mr. Arlint said that he was not in a position to offer a compromise. He said that he had spoken with Ms. Thomas before the meeting, and could approve a reduction in the change request fees under discussion to \$122,000 (a \$50,000 reduction).

Council Member Dortch questioned why Mr. Arlint did not tell that Council about the reduction in fees at the beginning of the discussion.

Council Member Schieve agreed that Mr. Arlint should have told them at the

outset of the discussion.

Mr. Arlint reiterated that he offered that with Ms. Thomas when they were talking earlier in that morning.

Council Member Schieve stated that she could not move forward with that.

Council Member Zadra said that it still would not take them to the 3% she was suggesting, and asked if they would be willing to take \$116,000.

Mr. Arlint said that he could not authorize that.

Council Member Schieve suggested that Mr. Arlint contact the person who could authorize the additional reduction, and asked what the annual value of the contract was.

Mr. Arlint said that the value of the contract was approximately \$700,000 annually in service fees, and did not include the implementation costs.

Council Member Jardon stated concern that it had become a negotiation at the table, which she found that more offensive that the original cost overruns. She stated her preference for putting the matter to rest only if it included a guarantee that ADP would not ask for a single penny more, and the agreement would not include a reduction in ADP's services.

Mr. Arlint stated that it was not meant to be a negotiation, and he had not known the appropriate time to bring the matter to the Council.

Mayor Cashell suggested that Mr. Arlint contact his boss, and the item was tabled until later in the meeting.

Council Member Schieve requested that Mr. Arlint ask ADP to absorb all of the cost overruns (\$172,541).

THIS ITEM WAS CONTINUED TO LATER IN THE MEETING.

RESULT: CONTINUED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

Staff Report (For Possible Action): Discussion and approval of Service Amendment to the Master Services Agreement with Automatic Data Processing, Inc. (ADP) to extend the termination date to December 31, 2018 and for additional implementation costs of \$172,541, bringing the total amount for implementation to \$1,337,577 (General Fund). 5:01 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends approval for the Mayor to sign the Service Amendment with Automatic Data Processing, Inc. for additional implementation costs of \$172,541, bringing the total amount of the implementation costs in the Master Agreement to \$1,337,577, contingent upon Department of Taxation approval of a Medium-Term Obligation; also extending the termination date to December 31, 2018; and adoption of Resolution

No.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

AFTER THE COUNCIL CONSIDERED AGENDA ITEM J.7, THEY RETURNED TO THIS AGENDA ITEM FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION.

Mr. Arlint said that he had been authorized by his boss to reduce the fees by half.

Ann Wilkinson, Deputy City Attorney, said that after adding the reduction to the previously approved implementation costs, the new total would be \$1,251,306.50. She explained that the \$172,541 had been reduced to \$86,270.50, and requested that the motion include an opportunity for legal staff to include language in the amendment to set the implementation cost at that not-to-exceed amount.

The Council approved the \$86,270.50 and directed staff to include additional language in the agreement to set the implementation costs at that not-to-exceed amount.

The Mayor closed the public hearing.

RESULT: APPROVED [6 TO 1]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon

NAYS: Hillary Schieve

F.2.2	Resolution No. 7930 : Resolution No(For Possible Action) Resolution authorizing a Medium-Term Obligation in an amount of up to
	\$1,337,577 for the Master Services Agreement and Service Amendmen with regard to financing implementation costs with Automatic Data Processing, Inc. related to payroll services and related products; directing the officers of the City to forward materials to the Department of Taxation of the State of Nevada; and providing certain details in connection therewith. 5:03 PM
	Recommendation: Staff recommends Council adopt Resolution No
	·

Proposed Motion: I move to adopt Resolution No. _____.

Resolution No. 7930 was adopted, as amended (the amount was amended from 'up to \$1,337,577' to 'up to \$1,251,306.50').

RESULT: ADOPTED [6 TO 1]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon

NAYS: Hillary Schieve

G Ordinances, Adoption

G.1 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Ordinance Adoption - Bill No. 6878
Ordinance amending the Reno Municipal Code, Title 4, entitled "Business
License Code", Chapter 4.04, entitled "In General", adding a new section
4.04.065 entitled "Stay on the Acceptance of Business License Applications
Related to Medical Marijuana Establishments operating within the Corporate
Limits of the City", and other matters properly relating thereto. [All Wards]
5:06 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council adopt Ordinance No. ______.

Proposed Motion: I move to adopt Ordinance No. _____.

COUNCIL MEMBERS ZADRA AND JARDON ABSENT AT 5:06 P.M.

Bill No. 6878, Ordinance No. 6312 was passed and adopted.

RESULT: ADOPTED [4 TO 1]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Oscar Delgado, Council Member

AYES: Robert Cashell, Oscar Delgado, Dwight Dortch, Hillary Schieve

NAYS: Jenny Brekhus

ABSENT: Sharon Zadra, Neoma Jardon

G.2 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Ordinance Adoption - Bill No. 6879
Ordinance amending Reno Municipal Code Title 12, "Public Works and
Utilities," by amending Chapter 12.20 "Sidewalk, Curb and Gutter or Trail
Reconstruction," to clarify provisions as to timing and notice; together with other
matters properly relating thereto. 5:06 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council adopt Bill No. 6879.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

Bill No. 6879, Ordinance No. 6313 was passed and adopted.

RESULT: ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Delgado, Dortch, Schieve

ABSENT: Sharon Zadra, Neoma Jardon

H Resolutions

I Ordinances, Introduction

I.1 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Ordinance Introduction - Bill No. ______ Ordinance amending Reno Municipal Code Title 6, entitled "Vehicle and Traffic", Chapter 6.06 entitled "Rules of the Road" by adding inadvertently repealed sections, renumbering remaining sections, and providing other matters properly relating thereto. 5:07 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council refer to the Committee of the Whole.

Proposed Motion: I move to refer to the Committee of the Whole.

Council Member Brekhus and Susan Ball Rothe, Deputy City Attorney, discussed why the changes were necessary.

Kurt Dietrich, Public Works Traffic Operations, Associate Civil Engineer, and Council Member Brekhus, discussed previous changes that were made to the ordinance, and additional changes that could be made in the future.

COUNCIL MEMBER JARDON PRESENT AT 5:12 p.m.

Steve Bunnell, Public Works Traffic Operations, Traffic Engineer, and Council Members Brekhus and Jardon discussed crosswalk issues.

Bill No. 6882 was referred to the Committee of the Whole.

RESULT:FIRST READ [UNANIMOUS]MOVER:Dwight Dortch, Vice MayorSECONDER:Jenny Brekhus, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

ABSENT: Sharon Zadra

I.2 Parking Fine Increase

I.2.1	Staff Report (For Possible Action): Acceptance of Business Impact
	Statement and finding that the adoption of ordinance amending Title 6
	entitled "Parking Violations/Civil Infractions", Section 6.30 entitled
	"Schedule of civil fines" does not impose a direct and significant
	economic burden upon a business nor directly restrict the formation or
	expansion of a business.

Recommendation: Staff Council: recommends 1. Accept the Business Impact Statement and finding that in compliance with NRS 237.080, a Business Impact Statement was prepared and in accordance thereto, the Reno City Council hereby finds that this Ordinance does not impose a direct and significant economic burden upon a business nor directly restrict the formation or expansion of a business. 2. Move to refer Bill No. _____ Ordinance to amend the Reno Municipal Code, Title 6 entitled "Parking Violations/Civil Infractions", Section 6.30 entitled "Schedule of civil fines", and providing matters relating thereto. properly **Proposed Motion:** I move to approve staff recommendation. The Council upheld the staff recommendation. **RESULT:** APPROVED [UNANIMOUS] **MOVER:** Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor **SECONDER:** Neoma Jardon, Council Member AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve ABSENT: Sharon Zadra I.2.2Ordinance Introduction - Bill No. _____ (For Possible Action): Ordinance to amend Title 6 entitled "Parking Violations/Civil Infractions", Section 6.30 entitled "Schedule of civil fines", and providing matters properly relating thereto. 5:15 PM **Recommendation:** Staff recommends Council refer Bill No. Committee ofWhole. the the to

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

Bill No. 6883 was referred to the Committee of the Whole.

RESULT: FIRST READ [UNANIMOUS] Next: 1/29/2014 12:00 PM

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor **SECONDER:** Hillary Schieve, Council Member

Cashell, Brekhus, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve AYES:

ABSENT: Sharon Zadra

J **Standard Department Items**

Community Development

J.1 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Update, discussion and potential direction to staff on measuring success of Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) for Economic Development Services. 2:28 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council adopt the proposed measurements to evaluate success under the economic development contracts with Valley Economic Development Center and NLRK, LLC.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

11/06/13 City Council WITHDRAWN
12/11/13 City Council WITHDRAWN

Bill Thomas, Assistant City Manager, presented an overview of the Staff Report, noting that the purpose for today's item was to discuss the performance standards the Council requested for these expenditures.

MAYOR CASHELL ABSENT AT 2:31 P.M. VICE MAYOR DORTCH PRESIDED IN MAYOR CASHELL'S ABSENCE.

Nicola Kerslake, representing Battle Born Ventures, presented a detailed overview of the proposed accelerator program.

Council Member Brekhus discussed the City's track record for utilizing Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for business development programs, the use of less restricted funds (charitable or General Fund) for accelerator programs, her confidence that the two vendors had put in place good approaches and reliable measurables, and other competing interests for which the City could use CDBG funds.

Discussion ensued regarding funding deadlines and commitments.

The Council upheld the staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member
SECONDER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member

AYES: Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

ABSENT: Robert Cashell

J.2 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Update, discussion and potential direction to staff regarding bills passed during the 2013 Nevada Legislative Session that relate to Community Development and require updates to the Reno Municipal Code and/or Master Plan. 5:16 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Council direct Community Development Department staff to draft modifications to the Reno Municipal Code and Master Plan in order to ensure compliance with those bills passed during the 2013 Nevada Legislative Session.

Proposed Motion: I move to direct staff to draft modifications to the Reno Municipal Code and Master Plan in order to ensure compliance with those bills passed during the 2013 Nevada Legislative Session.

Fred Turnier, Director of Community Development, provided an overview of the Staff Report.

Council Member Brekhus noted that there were many issues with the City's zoning ordinance that needed to be examined when staff had the time and money to do so, and asked if some of the nine bills could be shortened in by three or four with the provision that gives the administrator the ability to classify like and similar uses.

Mr. Turnier said that staff wanted to keep it simple if possible, so if there was a like use out there they would make that interpretation that it was a like use. Some of these items like the school zoning will require that we change our ordinance to reflect regional development standards for our schools, he said, but they have to also be flexible enough because what is built in unincorporated Washoe County may be different that a school built in downtown Reno.

Council Member Brekhus and Mr. Turnier discussed Legislative timelines.

The Council upheld the staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

ABSENT: Sharon Zadra

J.3 Presentation, update and discussion of the Affordable Housing Municipal Loan Program (HOME) including the Washoe County HOME Consortium Funding Round Process/Schedule for FY2014/2015. 5:20 PM

Linda Wildman, Housing and Neighborhood Development Division of Community Development, provided an overview of the Staff Report.

Council Member Brekhus said that the need for housing in the community is great, the resources are primarily federal, and funding has declined over time, which makes the job of prioritizing even more difficult. She said that she supports the very low LMI ones and the ones for folks coming from homelessness. We need to consider partnerships with Washoe County, as the social services provider, and what they can bring to the table, and the Housing

Authority to step up to vouchers so it is a layered subsidy. She suggested that staff continue to work on the priorities that are established, but to also work with the County and/or Housing Authority to bring another layer of subsidies to the projects that have been set forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER ZADRA PRESENT AT 5:27 P.M.

Ms. Wildman said that the Council's requests can be put into the action plan, and noted that the City did work with the Housing Authority to purchase and rehabilitate houses for low income people. Ms. Wildman also discussed some of the recent project openings, and encouraged the Council Member to visit them.

Mayor Cashell said that a couple of foundations were considering building some transitional housing, and would be visiting with Ms. Wildman in the near future.

The Council accepted the report.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member
SECONDER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

Finance & Administration

J.4 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Presentation of the Opinion and Findings of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013, containing the Single Audit Report and Auditor's Opinion, and Auditor's Required Communications Letter, and approval of the Plan of Corrective Action. 2:46 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council approval of the Plan of Corrective Action and directs staff to file the plan with the Nevada Department of Taxation.

Proposed Motion: Move to approve Staff's recommendation.

Robert Chisel, Finance Director, presented an overview of the Staff Report.

Eddie Lorton, 100 North Arlington Avenue #16D, discussed his concerns about the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR).

Mr. Chisel addressed Mr. Lorton's concerns.

Council Member Brekhus and Mr. Chisel discussed accounting corrective actions and other details of the report, including debt limit issues.

Council Member Jardon, on behalf of the City's Financial Advisory Board, thanked the auditors for the significant improvements they had made to the audit since last year.

The Council upheld the staff recommendation and approved the Plan of Corrective Action. Staff was directed to file the plan with the Nevada Department of Taxation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member
SECONDER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member

AYES: Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

ABSENT: Robert Cashell

J.5 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Notice of deficiency in Reserve Funds for the City of Reno, Nevada, Sales Tax Increment Bonds, Series 2007A (Tax-Exempt) and the City of Reno, Nevada, Sales Tax Increment Bonds, Series 2007B (Taxable), discussion and potential action to direct the Finance Director to deposit any amount from the Revenue Fund to the Reserve Funds (Cabela's STAR Bonds). 5:28 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends the City Council accept the report.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

The Council accepted the report.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor
SECONDER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

Public Works

J.6 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Discussion and potential direction to staff to amend the annual lease payment and payment due date with regards to the Lease to Purchase of the City of Reno's interest in certain real property, located at 450 Sinclair Street, Reno, Nevada, to the Board of Regents of the Nevada System of Higher Education, on behalf of the University of Nevada, Reno, and to authorize the Bill of Sale and Assignment of all the Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment in the amount of \$20,000. 5:28 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council approve the amendment of the annual lease payment and payment due date in the Lease to Purchase of the City of Reno's interest in certain real property located at 450 Sinclair Street, Reno, Nevada to the Board of Regents of the Nevada System of Higher Education on behalf of the University of Nevada, Reno,

and authorize the City Manager to execute a Bill of Sale and Assignment Agreement for the purchase of the FF&E in the amount of \$20,000.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

The Council upheld the staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor
SECONDER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

J.7 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Update, discussion and potential direction to staff regarding a Subcommittee for the Future of the Downtown Police and Maintenance Special Assessment District Report and Proposal for the Expansion of the Downtown Police Special Assessment District. 4:24 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends direction from the Council to proceed with the expansion petition process.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

Robert Ross, 118 West Street; Brynja Bowman, 255 North Sierra Street #1001; and Eddie Lorton, Reno resident, presented their views on this issue.

The Council directed staff to proceed with the expansion petition process.

RESULT:APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]MOVER:Dwight Dortch, Vice MayorSECONDER:Sharon Zadra, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

ABSENT: Jenny Brekhus

City Manager's Office

J.8 Update, presentation and discussion regarding the newly updated reno.gov website. 5:29 PM

THIS ITEM WAS CONTINUED TO THE STRATEGIC PLANNING SESSION.

J.9 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Update, discussion and potential direction to staff on the City's Community Engagement Program, including Neighborhood Advisory Boards. 2:56 PM

Recommendation: Community engagement is a city-wide priority and staff desires to continue providing meaningful forums, transparency and interaction with citizens. Staff recommends the current NAB program be discontinued and Council provide direction to staff to continue forward

thinking and productive citizen engagement.

Proposed Motion: I move to accept the Staff Report and direct staff to discontinue the Neighborhood Advisory Boards and to continue efforts to further develop a comprehensive community engagement program.

Deana Gescheider, Director of Communications and Community Engagement, presented the update.

Tray Abney, 11140 Parma Way, former Vice Chair of the Ward 2 Neighborhood Advisory Board (NAB), voiced his support for eliminating the NABs.

Mac Rossi, 3260 Diamond Ridge Drive, Ward 5 NAB, discussed his support for the staff recommendation.

Naomi Duerr, nsduerr@sbcglobal.net, Ward 2 NAB, submitted an e-mail in support of the NABs that was read into the record by staff.

MAYOR CASHELL PRESENT AT 3:33 P.M.

The Council upheld the staff recommendation.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member

SECONDER: Neoma Jardon, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

J.10 Resolution No. 7931: Staff Report (For Possible Action): Resolution No.
 Resolution adopting the revised Special Events Sponsorship Policy. 5:29 PM

Recommendation: The Special Events Subcommittee of the Reno City Council recommends Council approval of the revised sponsorship policy and adoption of Resolution No. ______.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve the Special Events Subcommittee of the Reno City Council's recommendation and to adopt Resolution No.

Council Member Brekhus said that the value of revitalizing business districts should be expressed in the City's special events policy.

Alexis Hill, Special Events Program Manager, and Council Member Zadra discussed the types of in-kind support offered by the City of Reno.

Resolution No. 7931 was adopted.

RESULT: ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

J.11 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Update, discussion and potential direction to staff regarding licensing program for the use of "The Biggest Little City in the World" slogan and the Reno Arch. 5:34 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends that Council approve the licensing program as listed below. In addition, staff recommends that Council support the pursuit of a trademark, copyright, and/or other federal protections for the slogan "The Biggest Little City in the World" and the image of the Reno Arch, to include past Reno Arches and the present ones located on Virginia Street and the image of the Reno Arch located on Lake Street.

Proposed Motions:

- 1) To approve the implementation of a service mark licensing program concerning the use of the signature identity of the "Reno Arch" and slogan "The Biggest Little City in the World" for commercial and non-commercial use.
- 2) To approve the pursuit of a trademark, copyright, and/or other federal protections for "The Biggest Little City in the World" and the image of the Reno Arches.

Deanna Gescheider, Director of Communications and Community Engagement, presented an overview of the Staff Report.

Council Member Brekhus said that the City's policy was too soft, especially when one considered what other cities were doing.

Paul Klein, Senior Creative Services Manager for the Office of Communications and Community Engagement, presented the report.

Alexis Hill, Special Events Program Manager, discussed how other cities manage their service marks, and staff recommendations for implementing a service mark licensing program for the City of Reno.

Council Member Dortch said that the City should encourage people to use the City's mark, and send them thank you notes when they do.

Mayor Cashell asked how many staff members would have to be hired to administer the program, and Ms. Hill said that no staff would have to be hired.

Council Member Brekhus said that everyone using the logo should be issued a cease and desist order, a \$500 fee should be charged to come under consideration, and an exemption given to non-profits to use it.

Council Member Jardon emphatically disagreed, saying that usage was what promoted the "Biggest Little City in the World."

Council Member Brekhus stated that it [overuse] was diluting it.

Council Member Delgado and Ms. Hill discussed earlier Council direction to staff to develop a program to protect the brand and implement a fee for anyone profiting from its use.

Mayor Cashell recalled that Circus Circus used the logo on a cup, and Ms. Hill said that Circus Circus paid the City \$40 for use of the brand for a year, as approved by the Council.

Council Member Delgado asked staff to discuss some of the pros and cons they discovered during their research.

Mr. Klein said that it was important to protect the City's brand from things that would put the City in a light they did not want to project to the outside world. He said this tool [licensing program] would provide protocol for protecting the brand without hindering its use, and without a charge for its use. Mr. Klein said that there might be future possibilities, perhaps two years out, to reexamine what type of commercial profit the City could use for other things, and the program would offer staff an opportunity to document how much the brand is used in order to determine its value.

City Manager Clinger said that part of the recommendation was that use of the mark would be free for the first year, and suggested that the Council authorize the process outlined by staff in order to identify who was using the brand. He said that staff could then return in a year or so with the results.

Council Member Schieve said that use of the logo promoted a government entity and a City, and she approved of allowing use of the brand because more people would then recognize it.

Council Member Delgado said that staff should track who is using the brand and for what purposes over the next year, especially since there would be no charge for use of the brand during that time.

Discussion ensued regarding criteria used by staff to break out the discouraged and encouraged uses, and the discretion that it must look like the Reno Arch.

Mr. Klein clarified that the City would only have authority over "Biggest Little City in the World" usage, not other renditions of the phrase.

Ms. Hill said that the City of Reno currently owns the service mark, which was why they originally submitted the cup for Circus Circus last year and this program was created at the direction of the Council. She said that another part of the process would be that the City Manager's Office would have the authority to approve use the service mark, and perhaps it could be protected federally.

Ms. Gescheider said that they would like an opportunity to establish a protocol that would allow the City the ability to exercise some rights over use of the brand.

Cadence Matijevich, Assistant City Manager, suggested a compromise that would allow the City to protect the brand against inappropriate, derogatory or inflammatory use, but not discourage its use in other ways. She said that before denial of a use was recommended, staff would bring it to the Council to make that decision. Ms. Matijevich said that it would be useful to track use of the City's brand and try to establish its value, and suggested that the Council authorize staff to implement the voluntary registration for one year and report back with the results in one year.

Council Member Jardon stated that there were far more pressing issues to which staff time and City money should be dedicated.

NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS ITEM.

J.12 Staff Report (For Possible Approval): Discussion, potential direction to staff and approval of a Catalyst Fund Reimbursement Agreement between the City of Reno, the Economic Development Authority of Western Nevada, and Lincoln Cutting System, Inc., in an amount not to exceed \$125,000. 2:41 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council approve the attached Catalyst Fund Reimbursement Agreement between the City of Reno, the Economic Development Authority of Western Nevada, and Lincoln Cutting System, Inc., in an amount not to exceed \$125,000.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve the staff recommendation.

Bill Thomas, Assistant City Manager, presented an overview of the Staff Report.

Council Member Brekhus and Mr. Thomas discussed the City's ability to administer the program.

Vice Mayor Dortch said that the Council should be thankful for the opportunity to do this at all.

Council Member Zadra said that economic sustainability was one of the Council's top priorities, and this was kind of venture that would bring the City more business.

The Council approve the agreement.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member
SECONDER: Dwight Dortch, Vice Mayor

AYES: Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

ABSENT: Robert Cashell

J.13 Staff Report (For Possible Action): Discussion, potential direction to staff and approval of Grant Agreement for Allocation of Catalyst Funds between the City of Reno and the Governor's Office of Economic Development for the relocation Lincoln Cutting System, Inc. to Reno, Nevada in an amount not to exceed \$125,000. 2:45 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends approval of the attached grant agreement with the Governor's Office of Economic Development for Catalyst Funds in an amount not to exceed \$125,000 to offset relocation expenses for Lincoln Cutting System, Inc.

Proposed Motion: I move to approve staff recommendation.

The Council approved the agreement.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member
SECONDER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

AYES: Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

ABSENT: Robert Cashell

K City Clerk

K.1 Boards and Commissions Appointments

a. City of Reno Arts and Culture Commission (For Possible Action)

Sharon Honig-Bear was appointed to the City of Reno Arts and Culture Commission.

RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member
SECONDER: Jenny Brekhus, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

b. Youth City Council (For Possible Action)

NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS ITEM.

RESULT: CONTINUED [UNANIMOUS]

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

L Mayor and Council

L.1 Identification of Mayor and Council Items for future agendas of the Reno City Council. 5:55 PM

Council Member Zadra requested a discussion and potential direction to staff regarding: 1) an ordinance to make Reno a puppy-mill free City, and 2) an examination of the ordinance relating the conditions for authenticating and licensing escort services.

Council Member Jardon requested a discussion and potential direction to staff regarding the issue of synthetic bath salts and synthetic drugs that mimic illegal drugs and what our code says with regard to their location in convenience stores.

Council Member Brekhus requested a discussion and potential direction to staff regarding implementing a process for evaluating the City Clerk.

L.2 Liaison Reports

NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS ITEM.

L.3 Reports from any conferences or professional meetings. 5:58 PM NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS ITEM.

L.4 **Resolution No. 7932**: Staff Report (For Possible Action): Resolution No.

_____ Resolution donating Council Donation Funds to the Nevada Opera to provide live opera performances, education and outreach programs in the amount of \$500 (General Fund). [**Delgado**] 5:58 PM

Recommendation: Staff recommends Council adopt Resolution No. _____ and approve the request to allocate a Council donation to the Nevada Opera in the amount of \$500.

Proposed Motion: I move to adopt Resolution No. _____.

Resolution No. 7932 was adopted.

RESULT: ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: Oscar Delgado, Council Member
SECONDER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

L.5 Discussion and potential direction to the City Manager to utilize two or more hours of staff time to prepare an update and presentation on the moratorium and maximum number of privileged alcoholic beverage package licenses, and other matters related thereto. [Jardon] 5:58 PM

The Council authorized the use of two or more hours of staff time to prepare the update.

RESULT:APPROVAL [UNANIMOUS]MOVER:Neoma Jardon, Council MemberSECONDER:Sharon Zadra, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

L.6 Discussion and potential direction to the City Manager to utilize two or more hours of staff time to create a gateway district for the Plumb Lane corridor from the Reno-Tahoe International Airport. [Zadra] 5:59 PM

The Council authorized the use of two or more hours of staff time to examine the issue.

RESULT: APPROVAL [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: Sharon Zadra, Council Member

SECONDER: Hillary Schieve, Council Member

AYES: Cashell, Brekhus, Zadra, Delgado, Dortch, Jardon, Schieve

- M Public Hearings 6:00 PM None.
- N Public Comment

NO ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS ITEM.

O Adjournment (For Possible Action)

THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED AT 6:00 P.M.